HEALTH AI

Can an AI Agent Change How Someone Manages Their Health?

A research brief by EVAA Enterprises on AI-driven behaviour change, patient trust, and the future of chronic disease management in the Global South.

68%
of chronic disease patients fail to follow treatment plans

3.5x
better adherence with trusted human health worker check-ins

2024
year EVAA began studying AI-driven health behaviour

THE CORE QUESTION

Information alone does not change behaviour. We have known this in medicine for decades. Patients who are told exactly what to do — how to take their medication, what to eat, when to exercise — routinely fail to follow through. The gap between knowing and doing is not a knowledge gap. It is a trust gap.

EVAA's research asks a specific question: can an AI agent, built with deep cultural and linguistic context, close that gap? Not by providing more information — but by building the kind of relationship that makes a patient feel seen, heard, and supported enough to act.

RESEARCH CONTEXT

The Adherence Crisis

Across chronic conditions — diabetes, hypertension, tuberculosis, HIV — non-adherence to treatment is one of the most costly problems in global health. The WHO estimates that 50% of patients with chronic illness in developed countries do not adhere to prescribed treatments. In low and middle income countries, the figure is significantly higher.

Why Human Health Workers Work

Community health worker programs — like ASHA workers in India or CHWs in sub-Saharan Africa — consistently show that regular human contact, delivered in a patient's language by someone who understands their context, dramatically improves adherence. The mechanism is not information delivery. It is relationship.

The AI Hypothesis

If relationship is the mechanism, then an AI agent that can simulate the relational qualities of a trusted health worker — consistent presence, cultural familiarity, non-judgmental tone, memory of past interactions — may be able to replicate some of those outcomes at scale.

WHAT WE ARE TESTING

Voice vs text interfaces

Does a voice-based interaction produce higher adherence than text-based nudges? Initial data suggests yes, particularly for lower-literacy users.

Cultural context depth

Does an agent trained on regional diet, local illness beliefs, and familiar language patterns perform better than a generic AI health assistant?

Relationship continuity

Does an agent that remembers previous conversations — your last blood sugar reading, that you missed your medication Tuesday — produce higher trust than a stateless interaction?

Frequency and timing

What cadence of AI check-ins produces behaviour change without becoming intrusive? We are mapping optimal interaction patterns across different conditions and demographics.

EARLY SIGNALS

Across 3 pilot deployments involving 180 participants with Type 2 diabetes and hypertension in Tamil Nadu and Telangana, participants interacting with a culturally-tuned voice AI showed 41% higher 7-day medication adherence compared to SMS reminder controls. Qualitative feedback consistently referenced the agent feeling familiar, not robotic. These are early signals, not conclusions. The research continues.

REFERENCES & FURTHER READING

[1] WHO — Adherence to Long-Term Therapies: Evidence for Action (2003). World Health Organization. Foundational report on global adherence crisis.

[2] Nutbeam, D. — Health Literacy as a Public Health Goal (2000). Health Promotion International. On the limits of information-only approaches.

[3] Ramachandran, A. et al. — Trends in Prevalence of Diabetes in Asian Countries (2012). World Journal of Diabetes. Context on South Asian burden.

[4] Källander, K. et al. — Mobile Health (mHealth) Approaches and Lessons for Increased Performance and Retention of Community Health Workers (2013). Journal of Medical Internet Research.

[5] Bickmore, T.W. et al. — Maintaining Continuity in Longitudinal, Computer-Based Health Interventions (2010). JAMIA. On relational AI agents.

[6] Priya, R. et al. — Community Health Workers in India: A Review (2019). Indian Journal of Community Medicine. ASHA worker effectiveness data.

[7] EVAA Internal Pilot Data — Tamil Nadu & Telangana Diabetes Adherence Study, Q3-Q4 2024. Unpublished. Available on request.

EVAA Enterprises Pte. Ltd.

Singapore · contact@evaa.enterprises · evaa.enterprises